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Abstract

SimSo is a simulator that aims at facilitating the experimental evaluation of real-time schedulers [1]. One of its features is to present various task computation time models. Interestingly it leads us to observe that using the WCET in empirical simulations could introduce a bias in the results. It also enables to take into consideration the impact of the caches on the computation time of the tasks in order to integrate these effects into scheduling analyses.

Motivation

Dozens of multiprocessor schedulers were introduced during the last 30 years, making their evaluation and comparison difficult.

Even if a simulation-based approach may give rise to less accurate results than an execution using a real platform, we believe that simulation offers more convenience and flexibility, and constitutes a good compromise to efficiently evaluate scheduling algorithms in an empirical way.

SimSo

• Real-Time Scheduling Simulator
• Multiprocessor systems
• Easy to use and to extend
• Open Source¹ (and written in Python)
• More than 25 scheduling algorithms

Execution Time Models

The computation time of the jobs is defined by SimSo entities called “Execution Time Models”. SimSo provides the following ones:

- **WCET**: the computation time matches the given worst-case execution time;
- **ACET**: the computation time is randomly chosen using a normal distribution and bounded by the WCET;
- **Fixed Penalty**: the computation time is extended when a preemption occurs;
- **Cache Model**: the computation time is defined by statistical cache models and takes into account the memory access behavior of the tasks.

Discussion about the WCET

Simulating a system so that all tasks meet their worst-case execution time at each job is very pessimistic since the worst-case is an upper-bound that is rarely reached in practice and it is even more unlikely that it is reached by all the active jobs at the same time.

Using WCET may induce an erroneous evaluation of the scheduling algorithms:

- this could give an advantage to the schedulers that use the WCET as a parameter and highly depend on it;
- some schedulers are designed to take benefits from shorter execution times, which could not be valued;
- some algorithms are naturally robust to a punctual overload and can adapt their response to this demand.

Cache Effects

Cache related preemption delays are often greater than the OS overheads [2].

On a system with shared caches, a job may slow down another one running on a different processor.

Several schedulers take into consideration the caches (cache-aware schedulers), either by encouraging/discouraging some co-scheduling, or by using cache space isolation techniques.

Simulating Cache Effects

SimSo accepts as input an extended form of the Liu and Layland task model with additional informations to characterize the memory behavior of the tasks:

- **Stack Distance Profile**: A distribution of distances that indicates the probabilities that a cache access is done at a given position in an LRU cache;
- **MIX or API**: The number of memory references per instruction;
- **CPI**: The average number of cycles needed to execute an instruction;
- **Number of instructions**: The average number of instructions executed.

The cache memory organization and characteristics of the hardware architecture being specified, SimSo is then able to simulate the cache effects on task execution using statistical models [3]. The accuracy of the results is yet to be confirmed.
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